

IN THE MATTER OF)
) Case No. 79S00-9307-DI-768
SAMUEL S. CHAVEZ)

The Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission, upon review of the hearing officer's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation regarding petitioner's amended petition for reinstatement, recommends that petitioner not be reinstated to the practice of law in this state. On April 22, 2005, petitioner waived his right to petition for further review and therefore this matter is now before us for final resolution. The unchallenged findings of the hearing officer conclude that petitioner failed to establish, by clear and convincing evidence, the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Ind. Admission and Discipline Rule 23, Section 4(b)(2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (7).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that petitioner's amended petition for reinstatement, filed June 23, 2004 is DENIED.

The Clerk of this Court is directed to forward notice of this Order to the petitioner or his attorney and to the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission.

DONE at Indianapolis, Indiana, this _____ day of May, 2005.

All Justices concur.